

# 24th Conference of Rectors and Presidents of European Universities of Technology

22-23 September 2005, ParisTech Financing Engineering Programmes in Europe

Prof. Dr. Gulsun Saglamer EUA Board Member Istanbul Technical University



# Higher Education and Funding

Funding of Higher education has become a major issue on the agenda of higher education institutions as the share of higher education in state budgets keep decreasing in the last three decades all over the world.

This problem is even more serious as the universities are predominantly government-owned institutions and rely on government funding for most of their income in Europe.



# Lisbon Strategy, 2000

The EU set itself "a new strategic goal for the next decade: to become the most competitive and dynamic knowledge-based economy in the world capable of sustainable economic growth with more and better jobs and greater social cohesion.



#### Lisbon and Beyond

#### Meeting the goals of the Lisbon Agenda, it is crucial

- •to increase the financial resources (3% GDP)
- to increase the number of researchers (training of researchers)
- •to establish centers of excellence (formula funding)
- to make the Europe the most attractive place for the best brains of the world
- to establish strong links with industry to create better job opportunities



# **Public Funding**

- The government allocates funds on the basis of previous years' budgets and adds or deducts incremental changes. State-oriented systems have the tendency to conserve structures and be less innovative and less responsive to changes in demand.
- Over the last three decades public pressure has forced governments in many western countries to look for ways to meet society's needs without spending too much taxpayer-generated money. One way to respond to these pressures is to link funding to performance.



#### **Public Funding Systems**

- The Centralised Archetype: Top down priority setting from ministry/central government, funding streams directly to public research institutions and universities, no independet funding agencies. (e.g., Italy)
- The Dual Sytem Archetype: Federal and regional structure and ministers, both bottom up and top down practice, a mix of direct funding for public research institutions and universities and competitive grant programmes offered by independent funding agencies.(e.g., Germany, France, UK, Sweden, The Netherland, Switzerland)



#### **Public Funding Systems**

The Decentralised Archetype: Multiple ministerial research responsibilities, a primarily bottom-up agenda setting, mostly project funding via competitive grants from independent agencies. This model seems to be the least represented system in Europe.

Conraths, B., Smidt, H., "Funding of University-based Research and Innovation in Europe", EUA, 2005



# Public Funding

As the pre-ordained structure of line-item budgets has begun to disappear, the need arises to find a basis on which to determine

- the new block grants or
- lump-sum allocation

that universities are to receive under more open and autonomous funding arrangements.

The search for this basis has led to a variety of "formulas" that are used to compute the funds that a university is expected to need

Weiler, H., 2000



# Public Funding

- Many governments use competitive elements in the process of allocating public funds to institutions of higher education.
- Examples include the implementation of performance measures through "formula funding", or resource allocation on the basis of evaluated project proposals.
- Corresponding forms of "performance based" resource allocation can be found within most higher education institutions.

Liefner, I., 2003



#### **Performance Based Funding**

- The performance based funding tends to bring about positive changes but it also a factor in unintended side effects.
- Forms of resource allocation influence the behavior of academics and managers in higher education, particularly their level of activity as well as the kinds of activities they engage in and their way of dealing with risks.
- Changes in resource allocation have an impact on the level and type of activity academics concentrate on but not on the long-term success of universities.



# **Quality - Funding**

Quality of Higher Education in the face of Funding Deficiency

- A. interms of quality of educational programmes
  - Retention rate
  - Student progress rate
  - Full-time employability rate of graduates
  - Completion rates
  - Good teaching rate
  - Generic skills rate



# **Quality - Funding**

#### B. in terms of research performance

- Research funding earned
- Research output

#### C. interms of total service

Overall satisfaction

Zhao, F., 2001



# Initially the formulae for funding higher education have focused

on

input factors, i.e on those indicators that represents the tasks universities are supposed to perform in teaching and research and their estimated costs

on

the output side, the choice of a few key indicators include in the formula becomes more difficult, especially since this also depends on what a given institution, by the nature of its institutional mission, considers particularly important.



## **Public Funding**

Changing in funding models, that is, shifts in income sources, or in the forms of resource allocation will likely have major impact on the behavior of universities as well as their internal process of resource allocation.

Changes in resource allocation also affect

- The way of using resources / Project Management
- The structuring of Projects
- Behavior of individual faculty responsible for teaching and research
- Long term success of a university



# **External funding**

The growing shortage of public funds, combined with the desire for getting away from the state as the sole source of a university's funding, has contribute to an unprecedented preoccupation in European higher education with opening up additional and alternative funding.



#### External funding

This effort takes the form of seeking support

- from organized philanthropy through foundations,
- of a growing volume of contractual research and training programmes for clients,
- of sale of services such as language teaching or the use of libraries and data networks,
- the mobilization of private individual and corporate donors to set up endowment
- funds for special projects (such as endowed chairs),
- programmes of continuing education, and others,



# **External Funding**

In fact, diversified funding leads to a diverse system of management and delivery.

To accommodate the different influences imposed by the suppliers of different sources of income and compete for funding from every potential source of income require more sophisticated of management to deliver a more complex array of services.

Zhao, F., 2001



# What are the problems of external funding?

- Sustainability of external funding
- Hidden cost of external funding (erosion of basic capabilities in libraries, laboratories..)
- Impact of external funding on institution's mission and intellectual profile



#### **External Funding**

Students and sponsors influence on quality of higher education

On the positive side,

- Increasing non-Government funding promotes institutional autonomy and restricts Government influence on universities to some extent.
- The search for alternative sources for funds impelled universities to be more responsive to the viability of the local economy and and the market.

Zhao, F., 2001



# **External Funding**

#### On the negative side,

- Institutional autonomy and academic freedom are inevitable challenged in the course of diversification as funding resources often have a powerful influence on the way resources are used and on institutional priorities.
- There have been clearly expressed concerns of university administrators, scientists and academics about the problem of increasingly relying on students and industry funding.

Zhao, F., 2001



ITU is a state university.

#### **Therefore**

The majority of its funds is public fund.

Other resources (before 1996)

- Revolving Fund for contractual R&D projects(8-10%)
- Student Fees (5%)



- ITU has realized extensive reforms on its teaching, research and services to industry to be on the forefront of knowledge creation and compete world-wide.
- For this very ambitious mission additional and alternative funding became "must" at the beginning of this process.



- First of all existing funding system was analyzed and restructured to design efficient, effective strategies for allocation of resources in ITU
- Tools are developed to synchronize the reforms and the funding system to realize the mission
- Tools for estimating financial needs according to changing conditions have been developed
- The amount of Financial resources needed to realize reforms have been defined for the first and second four year periods by the strategig₃plans



#### Seeking for Additional Public Funding

- Line item budget
- Block Funding (Research Funding) SPO
- Formula Funding (Centers of Excellence) SPO

Public funding has been threefold (1996-2004)
Research funding has been tenfold
Number of research projects threefold
Number of published papers twofold



# Generation of New Resources in the State System

- Restructuring Revolving Fund
- Income from Land and Buildings
- Starting new graduate programmes (payed)
- Starting new undergraduate programmes (payed)
- Establishment of a new unit (tax free) for the management of facilities
  - Cafes
  - Kiosks
  - Restaurants



#### Foundations have been activated for

- Fund raising from alumni and industry for
  - New establishments and Investments
  - Scholarship programmes
  - International Activities
  - Awards
  - Funding Graduate Programmes
- Establishment of R&D Company
  - Research funding from Industry
  - Research Funding from State



## Foundations have been activated for

- The establishment of a Company for Training Professionals
  - IT based courses
  - Management / Bussines related Courses
  - Language Courses
  - Tailored Courses



#### Foundations have been activated for

- The establishment of a company for the management of Facilities
  - Student Residences
  - Nursery
  - Primary School
  - High School
- The establishment of ARI Techno-city



#### Management of Mixed Resources

- Establishment of Project Management Center
- Changing the Culture of Project structuring to create more
  - Flexible
  - Adaptable
  - Transparent
  - Modular structure
- Changing the management Culture
  - From Vertical
  - To Horizontal Structure



#### **Continious Quality Improvement**

- Establisment of self Evaluation Systems
- International Accreditation
- Institutional Evaluation (EUA)
- Raising Academic Promotion Criteria
- Performance Based Research Funding
- Incentives for research and teaching achievements



Between 1996-2004

Unprecedented 140 m USD additional funding for investments obtained from the state and alumni resources outside regular budget.



#### Case Study: ITU

- Gaining Visibility at National and International Levels
- Establishing Continuous Quality Improvement Systems
- Achieving International Accreditation
- Creating adaptable, flexible and transparent structure
- Being a part of National and International Networks
- Activating International Relations
- Organizing Public Relation Activities

Opened up new opportunities for external funding at national and international levels



# Case Study: ITU

- State Budget
- Income Generation
- External Funding (National)
- External Funding (International)



#### Conclusion

- Public Funding keep decreasing for HE
- Additional and Alternative Funding is" must"
- New generation management systems are essential
- Performence based resource allocation has a vital role
- Management of mixed funding is important
- Change is permanent
- Uncertainity is here to stay
- Adaptability is key for success
- Success is a journey not a destiny (H.T.Collard)



